UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,325	01/03/2002	Harry W. Eberle III	0247-5	5841
25901 ERNEST D. BU	7590 04/01/201 JFF	EXAMINER		
ERNEST D. BUFF AND ASSOCIATES, LLC. 231 SOMERVILLE ROAD			MACARTHUR, VICTOR L	
BEDMINSTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/037,325	EBERLE, HARRY W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	VICTOR MACARTHUR	3679
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the cause the application to become ABANDO	ION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21. 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 29-34 and 36-38 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest solution claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 29-34 and 36-38 are subject to restrict the subject to restrict claim(s) is/are objected.	awn from consideration.	ent.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic fority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🗔 Indonesia C	DOT: (DTO 412)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4)	

Application/Control Number: 10/037,325 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claim 29-32, drawn to anchoring device, classified in class 403, subclass 120.

II. Claim 33, 34 and 36-38, drawn to a decking system, classified in class 52, subclass 177.

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the deck of claim 33 does not require to particulars (e.g., "said sides of said top element engaging said receiving slots"). The subcombination has separate utility such as in a framing construction.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 3

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record on the attached PTO-892 form (if any) and not relied upon in the rejections above is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (571) 272-7085. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/037,325 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

April 2, 2010

/Victor MacArthur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679